BEFORE THE DENTAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. DBC 2006 -98

Michael Z. Tasic 19000 Hawthorne Boulevard Torrance, Ca 90503

Respondent

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Dental Board of California, State of California as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall become effective on December 23, 2009.

IT IS SO ORDERED November 23, 2009.

John Bettinger, PRESIDENT

Dental Board of California

Department of Consumer Affairs

1 2 3	EDMUND BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California GREGORY J. SALUTE Supervising Deputy Attorney General KEVIN J. RIGLEY, State Bar No. 131800 Deputy Attorney General		
	GREGORY J. SALUTE Supervising Deputy Attorney General KEVIN J. RIGLEY, State Bar No. 131800 Deputy Attorney General		
3	Deputy Attorney General		
11	Deputy Attorney General		
4	California Department of Justice		
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 620-2558		
6	Telephone: (213) 620-2558 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8			
9	BEFORE T	THE	
10	DENTAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
11	STATE OF CAL		
12.	In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation	Case No. DBC 2006-98	
13	Against:	OAH No. 2008040629	
14	MICHAEL ZORAN TASIC, D.D.S.	STIPULATION FOR PUBLIC	
15	19000 Hawthorne Blvd. Torrance, CA 90503	REPRIMAND AGAINST MICHAEL ZORAN TASIC, D.D.S.	
16	Dental Certificate No. 27932		
17			
18	Respondent.		
19			
20	In the interests of a prompt and speed	ly settlement of this matter, consistent with	
21	the public interest and the responsibility of the Dental Board of California, Department of		
22	Consumer Affairs ("Board"), the parties agree to the following stipulated settlement which will		
23	be submitted to the Board for its approval and adoption as the final disposition of the First		
24	Amended Accusation.		
25	PARTIE	ES .	
26	1. Cathleen J. Poncabare, (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the		
27	Dental Board of California. Complainant brings thi	•	
28	represented in this matter by Edmund Brown Jr., At	•	

. 8

Kevin J. Rigley, Deputy Attorney General.

- 2. Respondent MICHAEL ZORAN TASIC, D.D.S. (Respondent) is represented in these proceedings by attorney David O'Keefe, Esq.
- 3. On or about February 2, 1979, the Dental Board of California issued Dental License No. 27932 to MICHAEL ZORAN TASIC, D.D.S. The License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in First Amended Accusation No. DBC 2006-98 and will expire on November 30, 2010, unless renewed.

<u>JURISDICTION</u>

4. First Amended Accusation No. DBC 2006-98 was filed before the Dental Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on January 14, 2009. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the First Amended Accusation. A copy of First Amended Accusation No. DBC 2006-98 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read and discussed with his counsel the nature of the charges and allegations in the Accusation and the effects of this stipulated settlement and order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the First Amended Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 8. For purposes of the settlement of the action pending against Respondent in Case No. DBC 2006-98, and to avoid a costly administrative hearing, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the imposition of discipline under Business and Professions Code sections 495 and 1685, and Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest those charges and agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the disciplinary order below.
- Respondent stipulates that the Board has jurisdiction to impose a public reprimand upon his certificate of license to practice dentistry pursuant to sections 495 and 1685 of the Business and Professions Code.
- 10. Subject to Board approval, the parties hereby agree that the following specific language will be used to comprise the Letter of Public Reprimand that shall be issued by the Board:

Letter of Public Reprimand

On January 13, 2009, the Dental Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California, filed First Amended Accusation No. DBC 2006-98 against your license to practice as a dentist which included allegations that you violated Business and Professions Code section 1685, in that you allegedly required, either directly or indirectly through an office policy, or knowingly permitted the delivery of dental care to Patient "C" that discouraged necessary treatment or permitted clearly excessive, incompetent, grossly negligent and/or unnecessary treatment, as determined by the standard of practice in the community.

Taking into consideration the fact that you have been licensed by the Dental Board of California since 1979 without any prior disciplinary action, that you were cooperative with the Board's investigation, as

25

24

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

26

27

well as other mitigating circumstances which support the conclusion that you are safe to practice as a dentist, the Board has determined that the charges only warrant a public reprimand.

Accordingly, pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 495 and 1685, you are hereby issued this Public Reprimand by the Dental Board of California.

RESERVATION

11. The settlement made by Respondent is only for the purposes of these proceedings, or any other proceedings in which the Dental Board of California or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceedings.

CONTINGENCY

- 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board. Respondent understands and agrees that the Board's staff and counsel for Complainant may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Order, the Stipulated Settlement, except for this paragraph, shall have no force or effect. The Stipulated Settlement shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 13. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board shall, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order.

27 ///

28 1//

2

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

. .24

26

27

111

///

28

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

15. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND AGREED that the Board shall, without formal notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter a disciplinary order whereby Respondent, as holder of Dental License No. 27932, shall be publicly reprimanded and that Respondent shall comply with the following:

COST RECOVERY (A)

- Within 90 calendar days of the effective date of the Decision or other period agreed to by the Board or its designee, Respondent shall reimburse the Board \$5,000.00 for its investigative and prosecution costs. The filing of bankruptcy or period (s) of non-practice by Respondent shall not relieve Respondent of his obligation to reimburse the Board for its costs.
- 17. Respondent specifically acknowledges and understands that the Order for Public Reprimand as a resolution to the charges in the Accusation is contingent upon his full compliance with this condition. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, he understands and agrees that the Board may then file a supplemental accusation for unprofessional conduct as a consequence of such failure on his part, as a separate and independent basis for disciplinary action pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 1670.
- 18. In the event that Respondent fails to satisfy the above condition, Respondent understands and agrees that the original First Amended Accusation shall be reinstated and Respondent agrees to waive any defenses based upon the statute of limitations as to the original First Amended Accusation. Respondent further understands and agrees that the Board will be entitled to proceed on both the original First Amended Accusation and a supplemental accusation based on his failure to comply with the above condition.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, David O'Keefe. I understand the effect this stipulation will have on my Dental License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order

₫) 00T

PAGE 07/07

P.07

	I voluntarily, knowingly and intelligently, and	
	1 voluntarily, knowingly and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Dec 2 Dental Board of California. I further cores that the	oision and Order of the
	2 Dental Board of California. I further agree that a facsimile copy of this St 3 including facsimile copies of almost a section of the St	ipulated Settlement,
	4	ect as the original.
	5 DATED: 8/5/89	•
	6 AM	
	MICHAEL ZORAN TASIC, D.D.S.	
	Respondent,	
	I have send a sou	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	have read and fully discussed with Respondent 1 draws	ZORAN TASIC
	and orner matters contained in the	ipulation for Public
	Reprimand, and approve its form and content.	are a roll & golle
	3 DATED: 95/09	
	Carlon to	
	Attorney for Respondent	
1		
1'	ENDORSEMENT	
18	The foregoing Stipulation for Public Reprimend in L.	
10	The Darie of California of the Demonstra	sectally appuritted
20		umer Affairs.
21	DATED:	
22	EDMUND BROWN IP	
23	EDMUND BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California	al
24		
25	KEVIV J. RIGLEY	
26	Daputy Attorney General	-
27	Attorneys for Complainant	
28	DOI MATTER ID: LABOURGIUSO	
·		
- 1	6	

1	voluntarily, knowingly and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the		
2	Dental Board of California. I further agree that a facsimile copy of this Stipulated Settlement,		
3	including facsimile copies of signatures, shall have the same force and effect as the original.		
4			
5	DATED:		
6			
7	MICHAEL ZORAN TASIC, D.D.S. Respondent		
8			
9	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent MICHAEL ZORAN TASIC,		
10	D.D.S. the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulation for Public		
11	Reprimand, and approve its form and content.		
12			
13	DATED:		
14			
15	DAVID O'KEEFE, ESQ. Attorney for Respondent		
16			
17	ENDORSEMENT		
18	The foregoing Stipulation for Public Reprimand is hereby respectfully submitted		
19	for consideration by the Dental Board of California of the Department of Consumer Affairs.		
20			
21	DATED: 8 (6 69		
22	EDMUND BROWN JR., Attorney General		
23	of the State of California		
24			
25	KEVIN / RIGLEY		
26	Deputy Attorney General		
27	Attorneys for Complainant		
28	DOJ Matter ID: LA2004601050		